

program that was not funded by receipts or tuition remissions.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then if the whole \$414,000 were cut at one fell swoop, the burden couldn't be picked up by the football program? I will ask...

SENATOR HANNIBAL: I think it could fairly easily. At the UN-L campus it probably could.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So I am asking, why wasn't the total amount cut then?

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Well, what we were trying to do is to try to determine what were essential cuts and trying to be fair among the higher educational systems. We looked at the intercollegiate athletics program. We said if it is not right to be state general funded at one campus, it is not right to be state general funded at any campus. However, to cut the entire program at the other campuses would have been very detrimental, in our estimation, but it isn't fair just because UN-L does derive more cash receipts to cut it all there and not treat the rest of the higher education system consistently.

SPEAKER NICHOL PRESIDING

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would you agree that UN-L does receive favorite treatment when compared to UN-O and the state colleges in terms of the budget, the staffing, the things that are given to UN-L? And then they have a revenue generating athletic program which the others don't have, doesn't that give them a leg up on the state colleges and UN-O in this particular area? The money available for athletics.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: I don't know about your first part of your question as to whether they are treated...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Let's drop that.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Just athletics.